Free travel by the members of the staff of the Transport Department.

535. * MR. P. S. NATARAJA PILLAI: Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) whether the staff of the Transport Department are allowed free travel in the transport buses;

(*b*) the number of staff personnel who can board in a bus at a time; and

(*c*) whether there is any limit to the number of times an employee of the department can travel in the bus in a day?

MR. N. RAMAMURTHI: (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) 3.

(*c*) No.

Passengers' waiting shed.

536. * MR. P. S. NATARAJA PILLAI: Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) whether the Transport Department has a waiting shed for passengers at Nedumangad; and

(*b*) whether the department realises the difficulties of the passengers for want of a waiting shed there?

MR. N. RAMAMURTHI: (*a*) No.

(*b*) Yes. The matter is engaging the attention of Government.

MR. VARKALA K. MADHAVAN: May I know whether there is a waiting shed for passengers in the City of Trivandrum?

MR. N RAMAMURTHI: Yes, Sir.

MR. VARKALA K. MADHAVAN: May I know whether seating arrangements have been provided in that waiting shed?

MR. N. RAMAMURTHI: Yes, Sir.

Persons invited to meet the British Parliamentary Delegation.

537. * MR. K. A. MATHEW: Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) the names of persons invited to meet the British Parliamentary Delegation in the Council Chamber on 21-1-1946; and

(*b*) the principle on which the choice of invitees was based?

MR. G. NARAYANAN TAMPI: (*a*) A list* giving the names is laid on the table.

(*b*) Those whom the Government considered might usefully meet the Delegation were invited bearing in mind that many delegates from the State Congress had already met the Delegation at Delhi and elsewhere and put forward their contentions and allegations before them. The Parliamentary Delegation were at full liberty to meet any one they chose and used their discretion in this matter.

*Vide Appendix II.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

MR. K. A. MATHEW: May I know from the member whether they are aware that objections and obstructions were put by the Government servants in meeting the Parliamentary Delegation when they visited the State?

MR. G. NARAYANAN TAMPI: There has been absolutely no objection or obstruction in the matter on the part of Government servants, or anybody else for that matter.

MR. K. A. MATHEW: May I know from the member whether he has made enquiries about this matter and satisfied himself that no impediments were thrown for leading members of the public to meet the Parliamentary Delegation?

MR. G. NARAYANAN TAMPI: Enquiries have been made, Sir, and I can definitely assure the honourable member, and the House as a whole that no impediments whatsoever were placed before any person in meeting the members of the Parliamentary Delegation.

MR. K. A. MATHEW: May I ask whether he will take it that what he says is absolutely baseless?

MR. G. NARAYANAN TAMPI: I challenge that statement.

PRESIDENT: Considerable public excitement has been created with reference to this question of the visit of the Parliamentary Delegation and the access that was given or alleged to have been given to various honourable members. I may at once say that the position is as follows: As soon as it was announced in April that there was going to be a visit by the Parliamentary Delegation to India, this Government suggested formally and officially to the British Government through the Government of India that it would be useful for the Parliamentary Delegation to visit the South Indian States because in the past the experience of this Government has been that the portions of India south of the Vindyas were not generally regarded as important enough for the visit of notable personages. An invitation was, therefore, extended to the Parliamentary Delegation to visit the South Indian States. After a certain amount of correspondence, a further invitation was issued to the Parliamentary Delegation that if and when they visit the State of Travancore, they will be regarded as the guests of the Government. That was accepted on behalf of the Parliamentary Delegation by the Government of India. Further, even before the Delegation left England, it was known that there was a visit to be paid by them to Travancore and that during the course of the visit the Parliamentary Delegation would be the guests of this Government. It was afterwards announced in certain newspapers and announced fairly publicly in order to carry certain tendentious ideas that they had declined to accept the hospitality of the Travancore Government and that telegrams had been sent from and to certain persons and that steps would be taken to see that they were the guests of private individuals unconnected with the Government of Travancore. It was then that the Government of Travancore pointed out that they were not very particular, if the invitation had not been accepted, where the Parliamentary Delegation stayed and whose hospitality

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

[President]

they partook of and whom they met. The Government were not very particular of that matter but having accepted the hospitality of this Government, in response to the former statement and invitation by this Government, the stay of the Delegation elsewhere had to be regarded as inappropriate. Indeed, in some newspapers it was sought to be regarded as a slight to this Government or a slap on the face of this Government. Therefore it is that in all probability—I am not in the confidence of the Parliamentary Delegation—the Delegation adhered to the original programme of being the guests of this Government during their stay in Travancore. The Parliamentary Delegation did not consult this Government with regard to whom they should see and whom they should not see. This Government gave absolutely no indication to the Parliamentary Delegation as to whom they should see and whom they should not see. Correspondence did take place between the Departments of the Government of India and ourselves as to whom they should meet. In the meantime it so happened that without previous notice to this Government a certain group of people on behalf of the body known as the 'Travancore State Congress' visited the Delegation as soon as they arrived in Delhi. On that matter, I had the advantage of a conversation with the Parliamentary Delegation and I am saying it deliberately here. They admitted that it was irregular on the part of the Delegation not to have consulted this Government directly or indirectly before they met the members of the State Congress in Delhi. I also happened to know what took place in that meeting, and having known what took place in that meeting and especially what a certain lady who is fairly well-known in Travancore but who is probably not well known in Delhi and other parts—Miss Anna Mascarine—has mentioned to the Delegation, this Government deliberately came to the conclusion that as the Travancore State Congress had evidently with a kind of vague and wholly unfounded idea sought to give that exparte version of the state of things in Travancore to the Parliamentary Delegation in derogation of and in order to prejudice their minds before the Travancore Government or any representative of the Travancore Government met the Delegation, it was an act of grave discourtesy to this Government of which they are subjects and to His Highness the Maharaja. If there are any complaints, those complaints had to be given within the State in the presence of the officials of the State so that the people belonging to the State and representing the State might have the chance to controvert any allegations or to admit or confess any allegations that are made. This kind of exparte attempt, days before the Delegation came to Travancore on the part of the State Congress, to meet the Delegation was regarded as a deliberate act of discourtesy to this Government and therefore this Government came to the conclusion that unless the Parliamentary Delegation themselves wanted to see a member of the State Congress over and above those furnished in the list, this Government would not invite any members of the State Congress unless the Government thought that any particular members

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

of the State Congress were such that in their opinion the Parliamentary Delegation would do well to meet and be benefited by a talk with those members. There was a member of the State Congress to whom invitation was extended. Very honourably, that member of the State Congress told me and I definitely regarded it as an act not only of right discipline but an honourable act; he said: "If other members of the State Congress are not going to be invited, I do not want to be singular in that invitation." I told him definitely that I honoured him for expressing his opinion and therefore, he would not be misunderstood if he did not attend the meeting of the Parliamentary Delegation.

To recapitulate, it was not the intention of the Travancore Government to keep back anybody from meeting the Parliamentary Delegation. After all, even the Travancore Government have a grain of common sense and that must be admitted, however different the other opinions might be. It is essential that possessing that grain of common sense, they would know that if the Parliamentary Delegation did not meet the State Congress here, they can meet them in Bombay, Delhi or anywhere else. As a matter of fact, we know from a very remarkable letter published in the newspaper which is not very well circulated but a newspaper which considers itself very important, called the 'Liberator' of Madras, what took place:—

> "On behalf of the Kerala Catholic Congress, Messrs. Joseph Chazhikhadan, Cheriyan Kappan and Cheriyan Manjuran met the delegation at the Connemara hotel between 8·20 and 9 a. m. on 25 1-1946. First they met Mrs. Nichols. Mrs. Nichols said: "The Delegation have a lot of literature with them about Travancore We heard a good bit about Travancore from all over India."

If the suggestion is that Mrs. Nichols had heard a great deal from Travancore, it was her obvious duty to have told so to the representatives of the Travancore Government. I say so deliberately. Apart from complimentary references to what she saw in Travancore, there was not one single sentence said by Mrs. Nichols to me with regard to the complaints which are referred to in this newspaper which has been circulated not only in Madras but special copies of which have been printed and circulated in Travancore. The 'Liberator' report goes on to state: "On the morning of January 25th another group of representatives of Travancore Christians led by Mr. A. J. Jacob, member of the Indian Christian Council of Action, met Mr. Bottomley and Mr. Hopkin Morris at the Connemara Hotel and told them that they had heard and studied much about Travancore administration and the unfortunate condition of the Christians. Both Mr. Bottomley and Mr. Morris were surprised at the propaganda methods of Sir C. P. Ramaswami Aiyar.....As a final stroke Mr. Manjuran said that the only salvation lies in the removal of Sir C. P. Ramaswami Aiyar from the Dewanship of Travancore. Mr. Richards smiled again."

What the Parliamentary Delegation has to do with the Dewan of Travancore and how the smile of Mr. Richards is going to affect this question is not very well known. Probably, in Parliament the

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

[President]

whole matter may be discussed and I am awaiting with interest the discussions and debates of Parliament on this matter. Obviously the only answer is that those views will have to be adhered to, because if this idea is to see that the Parliamentary Delegation, a party of friends with no jurisdiction over the Government of this country, have to receive Mr. Manjuran's remarks as final for the final salvation of this country (*laughter*) the only answer is that that salvation will have to be secured as His Highness thinks fit. Though the Dewan of Travancore had no term fixed and did not want any term to be fixed excepting on a previous occasion owing to an exactly similar occurrence, (the Secretary of State was approached for the removal of the particular Dewan,) the Dewan's term was extended for four or five years, and mainly owing to this Manjuran's business (*laughter*), the term was extended by 5 years recently. It is said that the Viceroy has not accepted the recommendation and that the matter is pending. I assure the honourable members that there need not be any such misapprehension that Mr. Manjuran's recommendations (*laughter*) would be carried into effect. It is a matter falling within the prerogative of His Highness and it is not open to discussion.

The Government of Travancore naturally came to the conclusion that the members of the Parliamentary Delegation could and would meet anybody. What happened in the Guest House? This is my official report:

Naturally the Parliamentary Delegation studied the affairs of Cochin for about 45 minutes and I take it that they have a fairly comprehensive idea of the matters in that State. After having studied the constitution of the affairs of Cochin for about 45 minutes, they started or were about to start to this place and the transport arrangements had broken down then. There was a tea party given to them by a humble individual—the present speaker—and the party which was arranged to commence at half past four could take place only later when the Delegation arrived at quarter past six. Afterwards the Delegation were asked to see some persons. The invitations for persons to see the Parliamentary Delegation were entirely personal on the responsibility of the Head of the Administration. Very well. He came to the conclusion that the Travancore State Congress, who, without the knowledge of the Government insisted on getting an ex-parte interview with the Parliamentary Delegation at Delhi instead of in a manly fashion trying to say what they had to say before the representatives of the Government here, need not be given a second chance. I take the fullest possible responsibility for that exclusion. That exclusion was not however complete. There were two or three members of the State Congress who this Government thought might well see the Parliamentary Delegation. But as I said, the members

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

of the Travancore State Congress did not come to the meeting which was held in this very Chamber. This is a priceless specimen of journalism for the information of the honourable members:

> "In the morning of 25th another group of representatives of Travancore Christians led by Mr. A. J. Jacob, M. A. D. Lit., Member, Indian Christian Council of Action, met Mr. Bottomley and Mr. Hopkin Morris at the Connemara Hotel and represented to them the manifold grievances of Travancore Christians. The Parliamentarians heard them patiently and sympathetically and told them that they had heard and studied much about Travancore Administration and the unfortunate condition of the Christians. Both Mr. Bottomely and Mr. Morris were surprised at the propaganda methods of Sir C. P. Ramaswami Aiyar....."

I challenge that statement of Mr. Bottomley and Mr. Hopkin Morris. I challenge Mr. Bottomley and Mr. Hopkin Morris to substantiate that statement. I challenge them from this very place where the representatives met the Delegation. Now that the Delegation is in Delhi, it is quite open to them to take up my challenge and I trust they will take up the challenge. What are the propaganda methods that were used here as not to allow the members to see the Delegation? If they had heard about the propaganda methods why did they not put these matters to the representatives of the Travancore Government when they met them here in this very Chamber? I do not believe that responsible members of the Parliament would have stated such things. I firmly believe that these statements are a tissue of propagandist lies circulated through the medium "the Liberator"; knowing the high traditions of Parliament, I refuse to belive that such unsubstantiated statements would have been made by members of Parliament whom I have met and by whom I was much impressed in respect of their zeal and desire to help. I definitely decline to believe that any responsible members of Parliament, not having put the questions to the representatives of the Travancore Government would pass strictures either implicitly or explicitly in condemnation of the Travancore Government without having the courtesy, to put these points to the Government. Not only the Dewan of the State but the Resident, not only the Resident of the State but many officers of the State, not only the officers of the State but many non-official members of the State met the Delegation. Did the Parliamentary Delegation speak about those matters to any of them? Did they think of finding out the truth in regard to the propaganda methods? I ask for an answer. To have these things circulated like this and to stir up a feeling that the Government have deliberately kept out a certain set of people of Travancore even from the sight of the Parliamentary Delegation is not correct. I may read again what Mr. Manjurán is reported to have said to the Delegation. "As a final stroke the only salvation lies in the removal of Sir C. P. Ramaswami Aiyar from the Dewanship of Travancore." Mr. Richards is supposed to have smiled at this statement. It must have been an enigmatic smile. I ask the question whether all this kind of thing is the right means of dealing with the Parliamentary Delegation which

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

[President.]

has come not for effecting administrative reforms, but is a body of well-intentioned representative gentlemen collecting impressions for the purpose of having materials for their speeches in Parliament. After all I ask the question, why should all this hubbub arise about the meeting of the Parliamentary Delegation in Travancore? If the Travancore State Congress had written to this Government saying that the Parliamentary Delegation are coming here and that they may be given an opportunity to see them, every facility would have been given for their meeting the delegation. Instead of that they rushed to Delhi to meet the delegation behind the back of this Government. All that was unnecessary. I consider the whole of this agitation of this section of the people and representations of like nature are of a piece with the propaganda that is being carried on by certain persons in the State who are completely oblivious of the disrepute into which they have thrown the State by efforts which I know will be futile although they are intended to be operative.

MR. K. A. MATHEW: Sir, After having heard the statement from the Chair, I wish to make a statement about the circumstances under which I, along with another member of the State Congress, met the Parliamentary Delegation at Trivandrum. I am sorry to say that the information conveyed to the Dewan-President is incorrect and untrue. We never forced ourselves on the delegation. We waited at the Guest House to meet the Parliamentary Delegation on their return from the meeting in the Council Chamber; and they were only glad to meet us. But Sir, I may state it publicly that the Government officials tried to prevent us from seeing the Parliamentary Delegation.

PRESIDENT: Now that a responsible utterance has been made by a member of this honourable House, I may assure the honourable members that I will go thoroughly into the matter and if I find that any Government official has deliberately tried to prevent the members from contacting the Parliamentary Delegation or any member of that delegation, suitable steps will be taken against such a Government official.

MR. K. A. MATHEW: While we were talking with two members of the Delegation, Mr. J. W. Chacko, the Director of State Guests Department, along with the Superintendent of the Guest House came over to us and wanted us to leave the place. They did not want us to continue our talk with the members of the Delegation. Mrs. Nichol and Major Wyatt—who were talking with us. We were never informed that they had to go to the dinner party at Kanakakunnu Palace. They were talking with us in a leisurely fashion. I understand that they excused themselves from attending the party because they had lost their dinner suits and other clothes during their journey to Travancore. Such being the fact of the case, I am afraid, the official report which the Dewan-President received is a deliberate distortion of facts. As for the attempt of the leaders of the Communist Party and the Trade Union President to visit them, I can tell you that

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

they were only two or three persons who went to see them, and that too after fixing up an appointment with them. I fail to understand how this could be interpreted as demonstration staging. The Police prevented them from fulfilling that engagement.

PRESIDENT : What was the time? Was it at 12 midnight?

MR. K. A. MATHEW : I am not sure of the exact hour.

PRESIDENT : But I am told that the communist party members wanted to meet the Delegation at half past eleven or twelve midnight.

MR. K. A. MATHEW : It was not so. I met them at about ten after they returned from Cliff House.

MR. S. PARTHASARATHY IYENGAR (*Inspector-General of Police*) : It was not at eleven. It was earlier to that hour. The Assistant Secretary said that he would communicate over the phone regarding the fixing of the time for the interview.

MR. K. A. MATHEW : It was after fixing up the time with the Assistant Secretary of the Delegation that the Communist Party leaders and the Trade Union President went to meet them. I do not know how it could be characterised as demonstration. I may also point out that the report is that some public bodies had written to Government to arrange for interviews with the Delegation.

PRESIDENT : No.

MR. K. A. MATHEW : The Travancore communist Party President, for instance, had sent a wire to the Registrar, Huzur Secretariat, for arranging an interview with the Delegation.

MR. G. NARAYANAN TAMPI : Absolutely not.

MR. K. A. MATHEW : If the Government wanted us to meet the Parliamentary Delegation.....

PRESIDENT : Government did not want them to meet the Parliamentary Delegation for the reasons stated. Further they had already forestalled events and met the Delegation clandestinely and surreptitiously in a far off place.

MR. K. A. MATHEW : It is our lookout to meet the delegation in whichever place and in whatever manner that seem best and most convenient to us.

PRESIDENT : Order, order. Supposing the Parliamentary Delegation had not made a trip to Travancore as has been done in States such as Jodhpur, Jaipur, Dholpur, Patiala, Bikaner and a few others or omitted to see Travancore, the Travancore State Congress would have gone to meet them at Delhi to give their point of view. Is that the position ? Does the honourable member, Mr. Mathew, for whose personal character I have real admiration, think that he could play the game? I ask, is it chivalrous ?

MR. K. A. MATHEW : Sometime back when the Famine Commission and some other bodies visited Travancore and took evidence on certain important matters, the members of the State Congress Party were denied opportunity to see them. When the matter was raised on the floor of this House through interpellations, the Government said that they did not consider it necessary to invite us to meet them. The Government precluded us from presenting our point of view or affairs

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

[Mr. K. A. Mathew.]

in the State. Government want to present their picture of things. But there is the people's point of view which whenever we wanted to bring to public notice Government threw impediment in the way. That has been our experience in the past. We did not want to be befooled this time when the Parliamentary Delegation visited India. We wanted to tell them about the situation in Travancore as we experience and view it. The object of the Parliamentary Delegation's visit was to contact people and to study their points of view, and it was our duty to meet them and apprise them of the state of affairs in the State. I do not think there was anything derogatory or clandestine or surreptitious in our meeting the delegation which came to India for studying facts, in any part of India, inside or outside Travancore.

PRESIDENT: As if the delegation has come to meet Travancore people in Travancore.

MR. K. A. MATHEW: I do not know why the President should fly into a rage when speaking on this matter. There is no need to lose one's temper.

PRESIDENT: I very rarely lose my temper. But I may appear to do so.

MR. K. A. MATHEW: I think it is unfortunate that the Dewan-President should lose his equanimity on a matter which concerns us all. I do not see why the Travancore Government officials should have prevented us from seeing the delegation at Cliff House. I public. say that the action of the Government officials in preventing the honourable members of this house from meeting the delegation was to say the least, most indecent and therefore, I would request Government to enquire into the matter and take disciplinary action against those officials.

PRESIDENT: Very well.

MR. CHAZHIKATTU JOSEPH: സർ, എനിക്കും ഒരു സംശയം പറയാനുണ്ട്.

PRESIDENT: Is it any question?

MR. CHAZHIKKATTU JOSEPH: അദ്ധ്യക്ഷസ്ഥാനത്തുനിന്നും എന്റെ പേരുകൂടി പേരെടുത്ത് ചില കാര്യങ്ങൾ പറയുകയുണ്ടായി. അതുകൊണ്ട് എനിക്കും.....

PRESIDENT: If it is any personal explanation, you can make it.

MR. CHAZHIKKATTU JOSEPH: പാർലമെന്ററി ഡെലിഗേഷൻ തിരുവിതാംകൂറിൽ വരുന്നുണ്ടെന്നുകേട്ടു. അന്ന് അഖിലകേരള കത്തോലിക്കാ കോൺഗ്രസ്സു പ്രസിഡന്റ് മി. കുഞ്ഞിത്തൊമ്മൻ സെൻട്രൽ ജയിലിലായിരുന്നതുകൊണ്ട് അഫിഷ്യേറ്റിംഗ് പ്രസിഡന്റ എന്ന നിലയിൽ ആ ഡെലിഗേഷൻ അംഗങ്ങളെ കാണണമെന്നു ഞാൻ വിചാരിച്ചു. പാർലമെന്ററി ഡെലിഗേഷനംഗങ്ങൾ തിരുവിതാംകൂറിൽ വന്നപ്പോൾ

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

അവരെ ഇവിടെവച്ചു കാണുന്നതിനുള്ള സമയമനുവദിക്കണമെന്നു കാണിച്ചു് ഞാൻ ഡൽഹിയിലേക്കും ബാംബെയിലേക്കും കമ്പി അടിച്ചിരുന്നു. എന്നാൽ അവർ ഇവിടെ വരുമ്പോൾ കാണുന്നതിനുള്ള സമയം ലഭിക്കുകയില്ലെന്നു അവർ മദ്രാസിൽനിന്നും പുറപ്പെട്ട സമയത്തു് അറിവുകിട്ടി. അതുകൊണ്ടു് അവർ തിരുവിതാംകൂറിൽ വരുമ്പോൾ അവരെ ഇവിടെവച്ചു സന്ദർശിക്കുന്നതിനുള്ള സന്ദർഭം ഞങ്ങൾക്കു ലഭിക്കുകയില്ലെന്നു എനിക്കു തോന്നി. അവരെ സന്ദർശിക്കുന്നതിനു് ഞങ്ങൾക്കു സമയം തരുവിക്കുന്നതിനു ഞാൻ തിരുവിതാംകൂർ ഗവണ്മെൻറിനോടു പേക്ഷിച്ചില്ല. ഡെലിഗേഷനംഗങ്ങൾ ഇതിനകം ഇവിടെ വരുകയും ഇവിടം വിടുകയും ചെയ്തുകഴിഞ്ഞിരുന്നു. ഇവിടെ കാണാൻ സാധിക്കാത്തതിനാൽ അവരെ മദ്രാസിൽ കണ്ണിമോറാ ഹോട്ടലിൽവച്ചു് കണ്ടിട്ടുള്ളതാണു്.

Appendix I.

Vide Answer to Question No. 525.

List of acting Head Clerks on Rs. 30-45 from the Backward Communities who stand reverted from 1-11-1120.

		Length of service as Ag. Head Clerk on the date of revision.
Ezhava		
	K. Velu	2 years 1 month
	G. Kumaran	do. 2 months
	R. Kesavan	do. do.
Velan		
	K. Aiyappan	do. do.
Muslim		
	Aliar Sahib	2 years 3 months
	M. Sahib	2 years 2 months
S. I. U. C.		
	F. Y. Pakianathan	do. do.
Latin Catholic		
	D. Varuval	do. do.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Appendix II.

Vide **Answer to Question No. 537.**

List of persons invited to meet the Parliamentary Delegation.

NON-OFFICIALS.

1. Mr. T. P. Velayudhan Pillai, Member, Sri Mulam Assembly, Chengannur.
2. ,, P. Balakrishnan Tampi, Advocate, Trivandrum.
3. ,, M. Govindan, Member, Sri Chitra State Council, Trivandrum.
4. ,, K. C. Karunakaran, Member, Sri Mulam Assembly, Alleppey.
5. Rao Sahib A. K. Bhaskar, Member, Sri Mulam Assembly, Quilon.
6. Mr. K. K. Kuruvilla, Ex-member, Sri Chitra State Council, Kottayam.
7. ,, A. V. George of Messrs. A. V. George & Co., Ex-Municipal Chairman, Kottayam.
8. ,, A. V. Thomas of Messrs. A. V. Thomas & Co., Ex-Municipal Chairman, Alleppey.
9. ,, A. Nesamony, Member, Sri Mulam Assembly, Nagercoil.
10. ,, P. S. Nataraja Pillai, Member, Sri Mulam Assembly, Trivandrum.
11. ,, P. N. Krishna Pillai, Advocate, Alleppey.
12. ,, V. K. Velayudhan, Member, Sri Mulam Assembly, Alleppey.
13. ,, M. L. Janardanan Pillai, Member, Sri Mulam Assembly, Alleppey.
14. ,, N. Krishna Aiyar, Advocate, Kottayam.
15. ,, P. S. Mohamed, Member, Sri Mulam Assembly, Alleppey.
16. ,, M. Sivathanu Pillai, Ex-member, Sri Mulam Assembly, Nagercoil.
17. ,, T. T. Kesavan Sastri, Member, Sri Mulam Assembly, Kurichi, Kottayam.
18. Miss Sara Pothen, Advocate, Trivandrum.
19. Sry. T. Narayani Amma, Member, Sri Mulam Assembly, Trivandrum.
20. Mr. J. E. A. Pereira, Member, Sri Mulam Assembly, Chavara.
21. Rajyasevanirata S. Chattanatha Karayalar, Deputy President, Sri Mulam Assembly, Trivandrum.
22. Mr. T. Mohamed Ismail, Advocate, Trivandrum.
23. ,, K. Shahul Hameed, Advocate, Trivandrum.
24. Rajyasevanirata K. G. Parameswaran Pillai, Municipal Chairman, and Member, Sri Mulam Assembly, Quilon.
25. Rajyaseva Dhuranthara Dewan Bahadur V. S. Subramonia Aiyar, Retired Dewan of Travancore.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly